A New Positron Emission Tomography Probe for Orexin Receptors Neuroimaging

Ping Bai^{1,2,3}, Sha Bai², Michael S. Placzek², Stephanie A. Fiedler², Brenda Ntaganda², Hsiao-Ying Wey^{2,*}, Changning Wang^{2,*}

¹Chengdu Institute of Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chengdu 610041, PR China

²Athinoula A. Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging, Department of Radiology, Massachusetts General

Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Charlestown, MA 02129, USA

³University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, PR China

*To whom correspondence should be addressed:

Changning Wang, PhD

Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging at Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School

149 13th Street, Suite 2301

Charlestown, MA 02129

cwang15@mgh.harvard.edu

Hsiao-Ying Wey, PhD

Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging at Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School

149 13th Street, Suite 2301

Charlestown, MA 02129

HsiaoYing.Wey@mgh.harvard.edu

Fig. S1. Representative ¹H, ¹³C NMR and HPLC data for CW24.





Fig. S2. The HPLC profile of CW24 (HPLC conditions: 60% H₂O + 0.1M AF/40% CH₃CN, at the flow rate of 5.0 mL/min.)

Table S1. Off binding data of CW24.

The off-target binding assays were performed at PDSP with their standard protocol (https://pdspdb.unc.edu/pdspWeb/content/UNC-CH%20Protocol%20Book.pdf). In radioligand binding assays, CW24 was tested at a single concentration (10 μ M) and in quadruplicate in 96-well plates. The binding assays were carried out in a final of volume of 125 μ l per well in appropriate binding buffers. The hot ligand concentration is usually at a concentration close to the Kd (unless otherwise indicated). Total binding and nonspecific binding are determined in the absence and presence of 10 μ M of the appropriate reference compound, respectively. In brief, plates are usually incubated at room temperature and in the dark for 90 mins. Reactions are stopped by vacuum filtration onto 0.3% polyethyleneimine (PEI) soaked 96-well filter mats using a 96-well Filtermate harvester, followed by three washes with cold wash buffers (for details, see Tables 2 to 24). Scintillation cocktail is then melted onto the microwave-dried filters on a hot plate and radioactivity is counted in a Microbeta counter.

Receptor	% Inhibition 1	% Inhibition 2	% Inhibition 3	% Inhibition 4	Mean±SD % inhibition
5-HT1A	-4.55	-4.08	-22.12	-9.84	-10.15 ± 8.4
5-HT1B	18.96	17.99	6.23	10.41	13.4 ± 6.1
5-HT1D	32.06	19.98	11.86	30.24	23.54 ± 9.4
5-HT1E	31.33	19.5	22.46	2.07	18.84 ± 12.2
5-HT2A	25.7	20.15	12.75	-9.45	12.29 ± 15.4
5-HT2B	45.92	11.35	11.15	17.78	21.55 ± 7.7
5-HT2C	28.83	-38.5	8.5	58.2	14.26 ± 40.6
5-HT3	-7.18	2.23	-23.93	12.89	-4 ± 15.6
5-HT5A	5.59	-6.33	-13.11	-3.89	-4.44 ± 7.73
5-HT6	17.7	23.24	-12.28	-5.73	5.73 ± 17.3

5-HT7A	-92.69	-50.78	-54.31	-21.23	-54.75 ± 29.3
Alpha1A	17	-16.24	-17.64	-15.07	-7.99 ± 16.7
Alpha1B	-6.11	-16.27	-36.74	-23.55	-20.67 ± 12.8
Alpha1D	6.91	-32.75	-9.43	-19.42	-13.67 ± 16.7
Alpha2B	-8.15	-23.65	-14.5	8.29	-9.5 ± 13.4
Alpha2C	38.57	2.3	-9.91	13.27	11.06 ± 20.6
Beta2	-12.23	-5.09	-7.41	-17.26	-10.5 ± 5.4
D1	22.73	-0.84	21.85	28.02	17.94 ± 12.8
D2	-8.12	21.42	-24.23	4.13	-1.7 ± 19.3
D3	3.09	-9.41	-6.79	-6.5	-4.9 ± 5.5
D4	73.21	69.99	60.34	65.33	67.22 ± 5.6
D5	4.57	-5.32	7.05	55.75	15.51 ± 27.3
DAT	-0.27	-4.72	8.47	14.9	4.6 ± 8.8
DOR	41.02	8.06	10.63	-3.98	13.93 ± 19.1
GABAA	24.87	0.04	4	17.31	11.56 ± 11.5
H1	13	-0.52	1.94	27.5	10.48 ± 12.7
H2	25.4	24.43	24.78	22.12	24.18 ± 1.43
H3	-25	-12.5	0	0	-9.38 ± 11.92
H4	-8.25	9.91	8.32	3.76	3.44 ± 8.21
M1	17.45	-8.79	-6.38	-1.13	0.29 ± 11.93
M2	-1.12	-4.61	-17.35	22.75	-0.08 ± 16.71
M3	-5.69	-3.65	-12.4	-16.48	-9.56 ± 5.94
M4	0.88	-23.89	-3.92	11.5	-3.86 ± 14.83
M5	15.35	31.89	40.55	21.65	27.36 ± 11.13
MOR	5.06	-11.35	8.74	-10.61	-2.04 ± 10.43

SERT	10.62	-3.59	10.62	25.65	10.83 ± 11.94
Sigma 1	8.39	1.56	8.99	30.38	12.33 ± 12.50
Sigma 2	42.39	19.45	26.56	20.46	27.22 ±10.59