

Table S1 Optimization of ECF volume for *N*-ethoxycarbonylation derivatization

Diamines	ECF volume / Relative response factors as derivatization efficiency ^a				
	10 μ L	30 μ L	50 μ L	70 μ L	90 μ L
DAB	0.61a \pm 0.02	0.79b \pm 0.02	0.80b \pm 0.02	0.81b \pm 0.02	0.75b \pm 0.03
HDA	0.92a \pm 0.05	1.15b \pm 0.04	1.20b \pm 0.04	1.21b \pm 0.05	1.17b \pm 0.05
IPDA	0.58a \pm 0.01	0.76b \pm 0.02	0.78b \pm 0.02	0.77b \pm 0.02	0.71b \pm 0.02
LEE	0.55a \pm 0.02	0.74b \pm 0.02	0.77b \pm 0.02	0.78b \pm 0.03	0.69b \pm 0.03
2,6-TDA	0.48a \pm 0.03	0.60b \pm 0.02	0.62b \pm 0.03	0.60b \pm 0.03	0.58b \pm 0.03
2,4-TDA	0.75a \pm 0.05	0.91b \pm 0.03	0.91b \pm 0.04	0.92b \pm 0.04	0.87b \pm 0.05
DDCM	0.55a \pm 0.02	0.79b \pm 0.02	0.80b \pm 0.02	0.81b \pm 0.03	0.75b \pm 0.03

^a The relative response factors were the peak area of the *N*-ethoxycarbonylation derivatives of diamines divided by the internal standard (DAP).

Table S2 Optimization of derivatization-extraction time for *N*-ethoxycarbonylation derivatization

Diamines	Derivatization-extraction time / Relative response factors as derivatization efficiency ^a				
	1 min	2 min	3 min	4 min	5 min
DAB	0.82a \pm 0.03	0.84a \pm 0.03	0.83a \pm 0.04	0.80a \pm 0.02	0.85a \pm 0.04
HDA	1.15a \pm 0.04	1.19a \pm 0.04	1.25a \pm 0.05	1.20a \pm 0.04	1.25a \pm 0.05
IPDA	0.80a \pm 0.03	0.82a \pm 0.02	0.83a \pm 0.02	0.83a \pm 0.03	0.84a \pm 0.02
LEE	0.73a \pm 0.02	0.76a \pm 0.02	0.77a \pm 0.03	0.78a \pm 0.02	0.78a \pm 0.03
2,6-TDA	0.54a \pm 0.04	0.59a \pm 0.04	0.57a \pm 0.05	0.57a \pm 0.04	0.58a \pm 0.05
2,4-TDA	0.91a \pm 0.06	0.96a \pm 0.04	0.93a \pm 0.05	0.94a \pm 0.06	0.94a \pm 0.05
DDCM	0.86a \pm 0.03	0.85a \pm 0.04	0.83a \pm 0.04	0.84a \pm 0.03	0.84a \pm 0.03

^a The relative response factors were the peak area of the *N*-ethoxycarbonylation derivatives of diamines divided by the internal standard (DAP).

Table S3 Optimization of extraction solvent for *N*-ethoxycarbonylation derivatization

Diamines	Different polarity solvent / Relative response factors as derivatization efficiency ^a					
	Petroleum ether	<i>n</i> -Hexane	Methyl tert-butyl ether	Ethyl ether	Ethyl acetate	Chloroform
DAB	0.04a \pm 0.004	0.08b \pm 0.008	0.80b \pm 0.04	0.83b \pm 0.05	0.85b \pm 0.03	0.86b \pm 0.06
HDA	0.79a \pm 0.06	0.97b \pm 0.07	1.24c \pm 0.05	1.24c \pm 0.04	1.27c \pm 0.04	1.25c \pm 0.05
IPDA	0.18a \pm 0.01	0.17a \pm 0.01	0.72b \pm 0.03	0.79b \pm 0.03	0.80b \pm 0.04	0.82b \pm 0.04
LEE	0.23a \pm 0.03	0.23a \pm 0.02	0.77b \pm 0.04	0.81b \pm 0.03	0.83b \pm 0.03	0.83b \pm 0.04
2,6-TDA	0.42a \pm 0.10	0.47a \pm 0.08	0.48a \pm 0.06	0.60b \pm 0.04	0.60b \pm 0.05	0.62b \pm 0.06
2,4-TDA	0.54a \pm 0.15	0.63a \pm 0.12	0.84b \pm 0.06	0.91b \pm 0.05	0.93b \pm 0.05	0.95b \pm 0.08
DDCM	0.04a \pm 0.01	0.08a \pm 0.02	0.78b \pm 0.04	0.83b \pm 0.05	0.85b \pm 0.04	0.84b \pm 0.07

^a The relative response factors were the peak area of the *N*-ethoxycarbonylation derivatives of diamines divided by the internal standard (DAP).