

## Article

# Response Surface Methodology for Optimization of Buspirone Hydrochloride-Loaded In Situ Gel for Pediatric Anxiety

Marwa H. Abdallah <sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Dina M. Abdelnabi <sup>2</sup> and Hanaa A. Elghamry <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Pharmaceutics, College of Pharmacy, University of Ha'il, Hail 81442, Saudi Arabia.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Pharmaceutics and Industrial Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Zagazig University, 44519 Zagazig, Egypt; Dinaabdelnabi@zu.edu.eg (D.M.A.); haelghamry@zu.edu.eg (H.A.E.)

\* Correspondence: mh.abdallah@uoh.edu.sa

## Supplementary Materials

**Table S1.** Results of ANOVA test for viscosity and % drug released after 6 h of *in-situ* forming gels.

Regression	Df	SS	MS	F value	Sig F (P value)	FLOF	Sig FLOF
Viscosity	16	12,057855	75,3616	590	0.0000	30.6491	0.1375
% drug released after 6 h	16	6731	420.7	346.3	0.0000	0.8361	0.5964

ANOVA indicates analysis of variance; Df, degrees of freedom; SS, sum of squares; MS, mean of squares; F, Fischer's ratio, P < 0.05 level.

**Table S2.** Pharmacokinetics parameters after oral administration of BH in various formulations.

Parameters	Formulation		
	BH solution	Marketed tablet	In-situ gel Formulation
C <sub>max</sub> (ng/ml)	384.82 ± 35.48	350.36* ± 33.22	200.95* ± 19.43
T <sub>max</sub> (h)	1.00 ± 0.04	2.00* ± 0.27	4.00* ± 0.30
K <sub>el</sub> (h <sup>-1</sup> )	0.20 ± 0.02	0.18* ± 0.01	0.10* ± 0.00
t <sub>1/2</sub> (h)	3.55 ± 0.34	3.86* ± 0.39	6.53* ± 0.59
AUC <sub>0-24</sub> (ng.ml <sup>-1</sup> .h)	1350.87 ± 136.05	1646.30* ± 155.77	2041.19* ± 200.16
AUC <sub>0-∞</sub> (ng.ml <sup>-1</sup> .h)	1357.84 ± 132.69	1682.03* ± 164.22	2258.13* ± 212.19
AUMC <sub>0-∞</sub> (ng.ml <sup>-1</sup> .h <sup>2</sup> )	5042.51 ± 488.77	8738.63* ± 845.54	22686.76* ± 2266.77
MRT (h)	3.71 ± 0.29	5.20* ± 0.54	10.05* ± 0.99
Relative bioavailability	-----	-----	151.10

(\*) the mean difference is significant at P<0.05 level. Each result is the mean of 3 determinations ± S.D.